

# General Relativity Fall 2018

## Lecture 9: Einstein's field equation

Yacine Ali-Haïmoud  
(Dated: October 4, 2018)

**Fermi normal coordinates** – Consider a fiducial timelike geodesic  $G$ . We will build a coordinate system  $(t, x^i)$  defined in a neighborhood of  $G$ , such that the geodesic has coordinates  $x^i|_G = 0$ , the metric is Minkowski along the geodesic,  $g_{\mu\nu}|_G = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ , and the first derivatives of the metric vanish along the geodesic, i.e.  $\partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}|_G = 0$ . This is more restrictive than a LICs: the metric is close to Minkowski not only at a point, but all along a curve!

Let us show that such a coordinate system exists. On the geodesic, we define  $x^0 = \tau$ , the proper time, which we initialize at zero at some point  $p_0 \in G$ . At that “initial” point, we put the metric in normal form, i.e. define three vector  $e_{(1)}, e_{(2)}, e_{(3)}$ , in addition to  $e_{(0)} = d/d\tau = U$ , the four-velocity at  $p_0$ , such that  $g(e_{(\mu)}, e_{(\nu)})|_{p_0} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ . We then define the four 4-vectors  $e_{(\mu)}$  all along  $G$  by parallel-transporting them (this is self-consistent for  $e_{(0)} = U$ , which is already parallel-transported along  $G$ ). Since parallel transport preserves angles, we have  $g(e_{(\mu)}, e_{(\nu)})|_G = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  all along the geodesic  $G$ .

Now, given four numbers  $\{x^\mu\}$ , we define the point  $p(x^0, x^i)$  as follows. We build the unique geodesic starting on  $G$  at time  $\tau = x^0$ , with tangent vector  $T = x^i e_{(i)}$ . We parametrize the geodesic by  $\lambda$ , and define the point  $p(x^\mu)$  to be the point reached when  $\lambda = 1$ . In other words, in some arbitrary coordinate system  $\{y^\mu\}$ , we solve for

$$\frac{d^2 y^\mu}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^\mu \frac{dy^\nu}{d\lambda} \frac{dy^\sigma}{d\lambda} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$y^\mu(\lambda = 0) = y^\mu(p(x^0, 0) \in G), \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dy^\mu}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} = x^i e_{(i)}^\mu. \quad (3)$$

This procedure does not necessarily work for arbitrary  $x^i$ : the geodesics we build do not necessarily extend to  $\lambda = 1$ . However, for small enough  $x^i$ , the geodesics are indeed well defined up to  $\lambda = 1$ , which is a point close to  $G$ . For  $x^i$  small enough, we get  $y^\mu \approx y^\mu(p(x^0, 0)) + x^i e_{(i)}^\mu$ , which can be inverted to give  $x^i \approx g_{\mu\nu} \Delta y^\mu e_{(i)}^\nu$ . In other words, for any point close enough to  $G$ , there are unique coordinates  $x^\mu$ .

What remains to show is that  $\partial_{(\mu)}|_G = e_{(\mu)}$  and that the Christoffel symbols vanish on  $G$ , see the homework.

Since the curve  $G$  has coordinates  $(x^0, 0, 0, 0)$ , the Christoffel symbols are such that  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(x^0, 0) = 0$ , implying  $\partial_0 \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(x^0, 0) = 0$ , i.e. the time-derivative of the Christoffel symbol vanishes along the curve  $G$ . Note that it is not the case for spatial derivatives.

**Geodesic deviation** – Last lecture we derived the formal equation for geodesic deviation. Let us rederive this using Fermi-normal coordinates  $\{x^\mu\}$ , centered on a fiducial geodesic  $G$  with coordinates  $x_G^\mu = \{x_G^0, 0, 0, 0\}$ . The spatial components of the geodesic equation for a particle in the vicinity of  $G$  is

$$\frac{d^2 x^k}{d\tau^2} = -\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^k \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\tau} \approx -(x^\sigma - x_G^\sigma) \partial_\sigma \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^k|_G \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\tau}. \quad (4)$$

The fiducial geodesic has  $dx^\mu/d\tau = \delta_0^\mu$ , so, to linear order, we get

$$\frac{d^2 x^k}{d\tau^2} \approx -(x^\sigma - x_G^\sigma) \partial_\sigma \Gamma_{00}^k. \quad (5)$$

Now recall that  $\partial_0 \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = 0$  on the geodesic in Fermi normal coordinates, so we can subtract  $\partial_0 \Gamma_{\sigma 0}^\lambda$ , and get

$$\frac{d^2 x^k}{d\tau^2} \approx -x^i R^k{}_{0i0}. \quad (6)$$

So we see that the Riemann tensor plays the role of a **tidal field**.

**Bianchi identity** – The fully-covariant components of the Riemann tensor are

$$R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} = g_{\rho\lambda} (\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^\lambda - \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\mu\kappa}^\lambda \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^\kappa - \Gamma_{\nu\kappa}^\lambda \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\kappa). \quad (7)$$

We then have

$$\nabla_\gamma R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} = g_{\rho\lambda} (\partial_\gamma \partial_\mu \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\gamma \partial_\nu \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma}) + \sim \Gamma \times R. \quad (8)$$

In a LICS, we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\gamma R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\rho\lambda} (\partial_\gamma \partial_\mu \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\gamma \partial_\nu \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma}) = \partial_\gamma \partial_\mu (\eta_{\rho\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma}) - \partial_\gamma \partial_\nu (\eta_{\rho\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\gamma \partial_\mu \partial_\sigma g_{\rho\nu} + \partial_\gamma \partial_\mu \partial_\nu g_{\rho\sigma} - \partial_\gamma \partial_\mu \partial_\rho g_{\nu\sigma} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\gamma \partial_\mu \partial_\sigma g_{\rho\nu} - \partial_\gamma \partial_\mu \partial_\rho g_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\gamma \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma g_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\gamma \partial_\nu \partial_\rho g_{\mu\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We then find that the sum of cyclic permutations of the three first indices of this tensor vanishes:

$$\nabla_\gamma R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} + \nabla_\rho R_{\sigma\gamma\mu\nu} + \nabla_\sigma R_{\gamma\rho\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (10)$$

This was derived in a LICS, but, being a tensorial identity, it holds in any coordinate system.

Let us contract this identity on the 3rd and 5th index, to get

$$0 = \nabla_\gamma R_{\rho\sigma\mu}{}^\sigma + \nabla_\rho R_{\sigma\gamma\mu}{}^\sigma + \nabla_\sigma R_{\gamma\rho\mu}{}^\sigma = \nabla_\gamma R_{\rho\mu} - \nabla_\rho R_{\gamma\mu} + \nabla^\sigma R_{\gamma\rho\mu\sigma}, \quad (11)$$

where  $R_{\alpha\beta}$  is the Ricci tensor. Let us now contract on  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$ , and obtain

$$0 = \nabla^\gamma R_{\rho\gamma} - \nabla_\rho R + \nabla^\sigma R_{\rho\sigma} = 2\nabla^\gamma \left( R_{\rho\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho\gamma} R \right) = 2\nabla^\gamma G_{\rho\gamma}, \quad (12)$$

where  $R$  is the Ricci scalar and  $G_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\alpha\beta}$  is the Einstein tensor. So to summarize, the **contracted Bianchi identity** implies that the Einstein tensor is divergence-free:

$$\nabla_\mu G^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (13)$$

**Newtonian limit and Einstein's field equation** – The geodesic equation is

$$\frac{d^2 x^\lambda}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\tau} = 0. \quad (14)$$

Let us rewrite this in terms of the coordinate time  $t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 x^k}{dt^2} &= \frac{d\tau}{dt} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \frac{1}{dt/d\tau} \frac{dx^k}{d\tau} \right) = \left( \frac{d\tau}{dt} \right)^2 \left( \frac{d^2 x^k}{d\tau^2} - \frac{d^2 t}{d\tau^2} \frac{dx^k}{dt} \right) = -\Gamma^k_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{dt} \frac{dx^\nu}{dt} + \Gamma^0_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{dt} \frac{dx^\nu}{dt} \frac{dx^k}{dt} \\ &= -\Gamma^k_{00} - 2\Gamma^k_{0i} v^i - \Gamma^k_{ij} v^i v^j + (\Gamma^0_{00} + 2\Gamma^0_{0i} v^i + \Gamma^0_{ij} v^i v^j) v^k. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Let us consider the Newtonian limit of GR, i.e. (i) small velocities  $v \ll 1$ , (ii) nearly flat spacetime,  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $|h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$ , and (iii), quasi-stationary spacetime, i.e.  $\partial_t h_{\mu\nu} \ll \partial_x h_{\mu\nu}$ . The small-velocity condition implies

$$\frac{d^2 x^k}{dt^2} = -\Gamma^k_{00} + \mathcal{O}(v). \quad (16)$$

The Christoffel symbol is

$$\Gamma^k_{00} = \frac{1}{2} g^{k\mu} (2g_{0\mu,0} - g_{00,\mu}) = -\frac{1}{2} h_{00,k} + \mathcal{O}(\partial_t h, h\partial_k h). \quad (17)$$

To match the Newtonian equation  $d^2 x^k/dt^2 = -\nabla_k \phi$ , we must have  $\phi = -\frac{1}{2} h_{00}$ .

Since the Christoffel symbols are of order gradients of the metric perturbations  $\partial h$ , the Riemann tensor is given by

$$R^\lambda_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma} + \mathcal{O}(\partial h)^2. \quad (18)$$

This implies that

$$R^i_{\sigma j 0} = \partial_j \Gamma^i_{00} + \mathcal{O}(\partial_t \Gamma) + \mathcal{O}(\partial h)^2 = -\frac{1}{2} h_{00,ij} = \partial_i \partial_j \phi. \quad (19)$$

Hence, the Ricci tensor has 00 component

$$R_{00} = R^i{}_{0i0} + R^0{}_{000} = R^i{}_{0i0} = \nabla^2 \phi. \quad (20)$$

So Poisson's equation  $\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho$  can be rewritten as  $R_{00} = 4\pi G T_{00}$  – remember that  $T^{00}$  is the energy density, and that  $T_{00} \approx T^{00}$  since  $g_{\mu\nu} \approx \eta_{\mu\nu}$ . It is tempting to “lift” this equation to a tensorial equality, but, while the stress-energy tensor is divergence-free, it is not the case for the Ricci tensor, so that's not the full story.

Define  $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu} T^\lambda{}_\lambda$ , the trace-reversed stress-energy tensor. In the non-relativistic limit,  $T_{ij} \ll T_{0i} \ll T_{00}$ , so  $T^\lambda{}_\lambda \approx -T_{00}$ . Hence  $\tilde{T}_{00} = \frac{1}{2}T_{00}$  in the non-relativistic limit. So we can also rewrite Poisson's equation as  $R_{00} = 8\pi G \tilde{T}_{00}$ . If we now “lift” this equation to become a tensorial equality, we get  $R_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G (T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu} T)$ , or, equivalently (reversing the trace),

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (21)$$

From the contracted Bianchi identity  $\nabla_\mu G^{\mu\nu}$ , this equation is consistent with the conservation of stress-energy tensor. This is **Einstein's field equation**, which determines the spacetime curvature given the matter content.